NO. 3-17 | MARCH 7, 2012

# Governmental Accounting Standards Series

**EXPOSURE DRAFT** 

Proposed Statement of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board

Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations

This Exposure Draft of a proposed Statement of Governmental Accounting Standards is issued by the Board for public comment. Written comments should be addressed to:

Director of Research and Technical Activities Project No. 3-17

Comment Deadline: June 15, 2012



Governmental Accounting Standards Board of the Financial Accounting Foundation

# GOVERNMENT COMBINATIONS AND DISPOSALS OF GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

# REQUEST FOR WRITTEN COMMENTS

**Deadline for submitting written comments:** June 15, 2012

**Requirements for written comments.** Comments should be addressed to the Director of Research and Technical Activities, Project No. 3-17, and emailed to director@gasb.org or mailed to the address below.

#### OTHER INFORMATION

**Public hearing.** The Board has not scheduled a public hearing on the issues addressed in this Exposure Draft.

**Public files.** Written comments will become part of the Board's public file and will be available for inspection at the Board's offices. Photocopies of those materials may be obtained for a specified charge. The GASB will make all comments publicly available by posting them to the Projects portion of its website.

**Orders.** Any individual or organization may obtain one photocopy of this Exposure Draft on request without charge until June 15, 2012, by writing or phoning the GASB Order Department. For information on prices for additional copies and copies requested after that date, please contact the Order Department. The Exposure Draft also may be downloaded from the GASB's website at www.gasb.org.

Governmental Accounting Standards Board 401 Merritt 7 PO Box 5116 Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Telephone Orders: 1-800-748-0659

Please ask for our Product Code No. GE85.

GASB publications also may be ordered at www.gasb.org.

Copyright © 2012 by Financial Accounting Foundation. All rights reserved. Permission is granted to make copies of this work provided that such copies are for personal or intraorganizational use only and are not sold or disseminated and provided further that each copy bears the following credit line: "Copyright © 2012 by Financial Accounting Foundation. All rights reserved. Used by permission."

# Notice to Recipients of This Exposure Draft

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is responsible for developing standards of state and local governmental accounting and financial reporting and other accounting and financial reporting communications that will (1) result in useful information for users of financial reports and (2) guide and educate the public, including issuers, auditors, and users of those financial reports.

The due process procedures that we follow before issuing our standards and other communications are designed to encourage broad public participation in the standards-setting process. As part of that due process, we are issuing this Exposure Draft setting forth a proposed Statement that would address accounting and financial reporting issues related to government combinations and disposals of government operations.

We invite your comments on all matters in this proposed Statement. Because this proposed Statement may be modified before it is issued as a final Statement, it is important that you comment on any aspects with which you agree as well as any with which you disagree. To facilitate our analysis of comment letters, it would be helpful if you explain the reasons for your views, including alternatives that you believe the GASB should consider.

All responses are distributed to the Board and to staff members assigned to this project, and all comments are considered during the Board's deliberations leading to a final Statement. When the Board is satisfied that all alternatives have adequately been considered and modifications, if any, have been made, a vote is taken on the Statement. A majority vote is required for adoption.

### Summary

This proposed Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to government combinations and disposals of government operations. As used in this Statement, the term *government combinations* refers to a variety of transactions referred to as mergers, acquisitions, and transfers of operations.

This Statement would provide guidance for identifying government combinations. This Statement would require identification of whether a government combination is a government merger or a government acquisition. The distinction between a government merger and a government acquisition would be based upon whether an exchange of significant consideration is present within the combination transaction. Government mergers include combinations of legally separate entities without the exchange of significant consideration. This Statement would require the use of carrying values to measure the assets and liabilities in a government merger. Conversely, government acquisitions are transactions in which a government acquires another entity, or its operations, in exchange for significant consideration. This Statement would require measurements of assets acquired and liabilities assumed generally to be based upon their acquisition values.

This Statement also would provide accounting and financial reporting guidance for combinations that occur in the government environment that do not involve combinations of legally separate entities and in which no significant consideration is provided. These arrangements are combinations that include *transfers of operations* to continuing governments or that form the basis of new governments. This Statement would define the term *operations* for purposes of determining the applicability of this Statement. This Statement would require the use of carrying values to measure the assets and liabilities in a transfer of operations.

Because disposals of a government's operations result in the removal of those specific activities of a government, this Statement would provide accounting and financial reporting guidance for disposals of government operations that have been transferred or sold.

This Statement would require disclosures to be made about government combinations and disposals of government operations to enable financial statement users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of those transactions.

The requirements of this Statement would be effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and would be applied on a prospective basis. Earlier application is encouraged.

# **How the Changes in This Statement Would Improve Financial Reporting**

Until now, governments have accounted for mergers, acquisitions, and other combinations by analogizing to accounting and financial reporting guidance intended for the business environment, generally Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 16, *Business Combinations*. This Statement would provide specific accounting and financial reporting guidance for combinations in the governmental environment. This Statement also would improve the decision usefulness of financial reporting by requiring that disclosures be made by governments about combination arrangements in which they engage and for disposals of government operations.

Unless otherwise specified, pronouncements of the GASB apply to financial reports of all state and local governmental entities, including general purpose governments; public benefit corporations and authorities; public employee retirement systems; and public utilities, hospitals and other healthcare providers, and colleges and universities. Paragraphs 3–7 discuss the applicability of this Statement.

# Proposed Statement of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations March 7, 2012

# **CONTENTS**

	Paragraph Numbers
Introduction	1–2
Standards of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting	
Scope and Applicability of This Statement	
Identifying Government Combinations—Service Continuation	
Types of Government Combinations	
Government Mergers	
New Governments	
Continuing Governments	20–27
Reporting Government Mergers in Governmental Fund	
Financial Statements	28
Government Acquisitions	
Recognition and Measurement of Government Acquisitions	29–32
Exceptions to the Use of Acquisition Value	33–36
Consideration	37–41
Acquisition Costs	42
Intra-Entity Government Acquisitions	
Reporting Government Acquisitions on a Provisional Basis	44
Reporting Government Acquisitions in Governmental Fund	
Financial Statements	
Transfers of Operations	46–50
Reporting Transfers of Operations in Governmental Fund	
Financial Statements	
Disposals of Government Operations	
Disclosures	
All Government Combinations	
Government Mergers and Transfers of Operations	
Government Acquisitions	
Disposals of Government Operations	
Effective Date and Transition	
Appendix A: Background	
Appendix B: Basis for Conclusions	
Appendix C: Illustrations	
Appendix D: Codification Instructions	125–126

# Proposed Statement of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations

# INTRODUCTION

March 7, 2012

- 1. The objective of this Statement is to improve financial reporting by addressing accounting and financial reporting topics related to government combinations and disposals of government operations. The term *government combinations* is used in this Statement to refer to a variety of arrangements commonly referred to as mergers and acquisitions. That term also refers to other combinations that occur in the government environment that do not involve combinations of entire legally separate entities and in which no significant consideration is exchanged. These arrangements are combinations that include transfers of operations to existing governments or that form the basis of new governments. Transfers of operations may be present in shared service arrangements, reorganizations, redistricting, annexations, and arrangements in which an operation is transferred to a new governmental entity created to provide those services.
- 2. Guidance that is currently being applied to government combinations was developed for nongovernmental entities and, therefore, addresses conditions and circumstances that would generally not be present in government combinations. Conversely, that guidance does not address conditions and circumstances that are normally encountered in government combinations. In addition, the accounting and financial reporting guidance that currently addresses disposals of components for nongovernmental entities conflicts with the financial statement presentation requirements in paragraphs 100 and 101 of Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments. This standard addresses both of those issues within the context of the governmental environment.

# STANDARDS OF GOVERNMENTAL ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL REPORTING

# Scope and Applicability of This Statement

3. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting standards for government combinations and for disposals of government operations. Government combinations are arrangements that meet the definition of a *government merger*, *government acquisition*, or *transfer of operations* as set forth in paragraphs 10, 11, and 12, respectively, and meet the service continuation requirement in paragraph 9 of this Statement. This Statement applies to all state and local governmental entities.

- 4. Transactions within the scope of this Statement may involve combinations of legally separate entities, such as a governmental entity with other governmental entities, or a governmental entity with not-for-profit or for-profit entities if the new or continuing organization is a government. Government combinations also include mergers and acquisitions of activities that comprise less than an entire legally separate entity and involve only the assets and liabilities previously used by an entity to provide specific goods or services. This Statement refers to such activities as operations. An *operation* is an integrated set of activities conducted and managed for the purpose of providing identifiable services with associated assets or liabilities. For example, an operation may include the assets and liabilities specifically associated with the activities conducted and managed by the library department in a general purpose government. Conversely, fire engines donated to or acquired by a fire department would comprise only a portion of that operation.
- 5. The definition of operations also applies to the provisions for disposals of government operations in paragraphs 51–53 and 57 of this Statement. Disposals of government operations refer to the operations of a government, as defined in paragraph 4 of this Statement, that have been transferred or sold.
- 6. This Statement does not apply to arrangements that include assets and related liabilities that do not comprise operations but likely represent other events such as purchases or contributions of assets, or assumptions of liabilities. The provisions of an arrangement may not clearly indicate whether a set of assets and liabilities that has been transferred or sold comprise an operation. In those circumstances, professional judgment should be used to assess whether assets or liabilities comprise an operation within the scope of this Statement.
- 7. Although this Statement provides guidance for mergers and acquisitions of complete entities, it does not address government combinations from the perspective of obtaining control of another organization that continues to exist as a separate entity. Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, as amended, provides the financial reporting requirements for legally separate organizations that comprise a financial reporting entity. In addition, certain government combinations may result in the acquisition of equity interests. This Statement also does not provide guidance for acquisitions of equity interests. Statement 14, as amended, provides the financial reporting requirements for a government's participation in joint ventures in which there is an equity interest.
- 8. This Statement amends paragraph 209 of Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements, as amended, and paragraph 3 of Statement No. 51, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets, as amended.

# **Identifying Government Combinations—Service Continuation**

9. To be considered a government combination, an arrangement should result in the continuation of the services provided by the previously separate entities or their operations after the government combination has occurred. Satisfying the service continuation

provision is used to determine that a government combination has occurred, rather than a contribution or purchase of a group of assets and related liabilities. Service continuation means that the new or continuing government has an obligation or responsibility to continue to provide the services that were provided by the formerly separate governments, organizations, or operations. The specific provisions of an arrangement may not clearly indicate whether services will continue as contemplated by the service continuation requirement. In those instances, professional judgment should be used to determine whether a government combination, subject to the requirements of this Statement, has occurred.

# **Types of Government Combinations**

- 10. A *government merger* is a government combination of legally separate entities in which no significant consideration is exchanged and either:
- a. Two or more governments, or a government(s) and a nongovernmental entity, cease to exist as legally separate entities and are combined to form one or more new governments, or
- b. One or more legally separate governments or nongovernmental entities cease to exist and their operations are absorbed into, and provided by, one or more continuing governments.
- 11. A government acquisition is a government combination in which a government acquires another entity, or the operations of another entity, in exchange for the payment of significant consideration. The acquired entity or operation becomes part of the acquiring government's legally separate entity.
- 12. A *transfer of operations* is a government combination involving the operations, as defined in paragraph 4 of this Statement, of a government or nongovernmental entity, rather than a combination of legally separate entities, and in which no significant consideration is exchanged. A transfer of operations is either a transfer of operations to a continuing government or a transfer of operations to form a new government.
- a. A transfer of operations to a continuing government occurs when a government transfers operations, for example, a public safety function, to another existing government. A transfer of operations to a continuing government also may result from arrangements such as reorganizations, redistricting, and annexations where operations are combined through jurisdictional changes in boundaries. Similarly, a transfer of operations to continuing governments may be present in shared service arrangements in which governments agree to combine operations.
- b. A transfer of operations to form a new government occurs in shared service arrangements in which governments agree to combine operations and transfer assets and liabilities to form a new government. Similarly, the transfer of operations to form a new government occurs when an operation of a single government is transferred to a new government entity created to provide those services, for example, the formation of a library district that was formerly a department of a general purpose government.

### **Government Mergers**

#### **New Governments**

- 13. For a new government that results from the type of merger described in paragraph 10a of this Statement, the merger date is the date at which the combination becomes effective. The initial reporting period of the new government begins at the merger date. The combined assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the merging entities should be included in the statement of net position at the beginning of that initial reporting period.
- 14. The new government, as of the merger date, should recognize the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the merging entities. If financial statements are not prepared for a dissolved entity as of the merger date, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources as of the merger date should be recognized based on the accounting principles applied in the most recent financial statements (subject to the provisions of paragraph 15 of this Statement).
- 15. The new government should not recognize additional assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, or deferred inflows of resources that authoritative guidance for state and local governments¹ does not require or permit the merging governments to recognize (for example, intangible assets that were not required to be reported). If the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, or deferred inflows of resources of one or more of the merging entities are not recognized and measured in conformity with authoritative guidance for state and local governments, those elements should be adjusted to bring them into conformity with that guidance before the merged government recognizes the combined assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources.
- 16. The new government should measure the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources as of the merger date at the carrying values as reported in the separate financial statements of the merging entities. If financial statements are not prepared for a dissolved entity as of the merger date, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources as of the merger date should be measured based on the accounting principles applicable to state and local governments applied in the most recent financial statements (subject to the provisions of paragraph 15 of this Statement).
- 17. The beginning net position of the merged government results from combining the carrying values of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the separate entities. However, the new government may choose to adjust some carrying values to bring the accounting principles of the merging entities into

<sup>1</sup>See Statement No. 55, The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments.

4

alignment. In addition, it may be required to adjust some carrying values for the impairment of capital assets as described in paragraph 19 of this Statement.

- 18. The merging entities may have measured assets or liabilities by applying different, but acceptable, methods of accounting in their separate financial statements. The new government may adjust the amounts of those assets or liabilities to reflect a consistent method of accounting as long as those methods comply with the accounting and financial reporting requirements for state and local governments. Adjustments to achieve a consistent method of accounting should be applied to the opening balances carried forward into the merged government's financial statements. An explanation of those adjustments should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements as described in paragraph 55b of this Statement. Assets or liabilities reported in the separate financial statements of the merging entities that are based on accounting estimates should be carried forward into the opening balances of a merged government's financial statements without modifications. Changes in accounting estimates should be recognized in the flows statement of the new government.
- 19. If the merging entities decide before the merger date to dispose of capital assets and the new government will use those capital assets until the disposal occurs, those capital assets should be measured and reported at the carrying values by the new government. However, if the new government will not use those capital assets, those capital assets identified for disposal should be evaluated for impairment by the new government. The new government should provide an explanation of adjustments for impairment in the notes to the financial statements as described in paragraph 55b. Similarly, if the merging entities decide before the merger date that the manner or duration of use of specific capital assets will change, the new government should evaluate those capital assets for impairment.

# **Continuing Governments**

- 20. For a continuing government merger described in paragraph 10b of this Statement, the merger date is the beginning of the reporting period in which the combination occurs, regardless of the actual date of the merger. Continuing governments should report the combined assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources and the results of operations of the merging entities for the reporting period in which the combination occurs as though the entities had been combined at the beginning of the continuing government's reporting period.
- 21. The continuing government, as of the merger date, should recognize the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the merging entities. If financial statements are not prepared for a dissolved entity as of the merger date, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources as of the merger date should be recognized based on the accounting principles applied in the most recent financial statements (subject to the provisions of paragraph 22 of this Statement).
- 22. The continuing government should not recognize additional assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, or deferred inflows of resources that authoritative

guidance for state and local governments<sup>2</sup> do not require or permit the merging governments to recognize (for example, intangible assets that were not required to be reported). If the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, or deferred inflows of resources of one or more of the merging entities are not recognized and measured in conformity with authoritative guidance for state and local governments, those elements should be adjusted to bring them into conformity with that guidance before the merged government recognizes the combined assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources.

- 23. The continuing government should measure the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources as of the merger date at the carrying values as reported in the separate financial statements of the merging entities. If financial statements are not prepared for a dissolved entity as of the merger date, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources as of the merger date should be measured based on the accounting principles (applicable to state and local governments) applied in the most recent financial statements (subject to the provisions of paragraph 22 of this Statement).
- 24. The beginning net position of the merged government results from combining the carrying values of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the separate entities. However, the continuing government may choose to adjust some carrying values to bring the accounting principles of the merging entities into alignment. In addition, it may be required to adjust some carrying values for the impairment of capital assets as described in paragraph 26 of this Statement.
- 25. The merging entities may have measured assets or liabilities by applying different, but acceptable, methods of accounting in their separate financial statements. The continuing government may adjust the amounts of those assets or liabilities to reflect a consistent method of accounting as long as those methods comply with the accounting and financial reporting requirements for state and local governments. Adjustments to achieve a consistent method of accounting should be applied to the opening balances carried forward into the merged government's financial statements. An explanation of those adjustments should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements as described in paragraph 55b of this Statement. Assets or liabilities reported in the separate financial statements of the merging entities that are based on accounting estimates should be carried forward into the opening balances of a merged government's financial statements without modifications. Changes in accounting estimates should be recognized in the flows statement of the continuing government.
- 26. If the merging entities decide before the actual date of the merger to dispose of capital assets and the continuing government will use those capital assets until the disposal occurs, those capital assets should be measured and reported at the carrying values by the continuing government. However, if the continuing government plans to sell capital assets that it will not use, those capital assets should be evaluated for impairment. The continuing government should provide an explanation of adjustments for impairment in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See footnote 1.

the notes to the financial statements as described in paragraph 55b. Similarly, if the merging entities decide before the actual date of the merger that the manner or duration of use of specific capital assets will change, the continuing government should evaluate those capital assets for impairment.

27. Transactions between the merging entities that occur before the combination should be eliminated in the combination process subject to the provisions in paragraph 60 of Statement 34, which describes the effect of interfund services provided and used. Receivables and payables between the merging entities should be eliminated pursuant to the requirements of paragraph 58 in Statement 34.

# **Reporting Government Mergers in Governmental Fund Financial Statements**

28. In a government merger, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that will be reported in governmental funds should be recognized pursuant to the financial reporting requirements for governmental funds.

# **Government Acquisitions**

### **Recognition and Measurement of Government Acquisitions**

- 29. In a government acquisition, the date on which the acquiring government obtains control of the assets and becomes obligated for the liabilities of an acquiree entity or its operations is the acquisition date. Generally, the acquisition date is the date on which the acquiring government provides consideration—the closing date. However, the parties may have designated another date at which the acquiring government obtains control of assets and becomes obligated for the liabilities of an acquiree.
- 30. The acquiring government should recognize the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date, in conformity with authoritative guidance for state and local governments.<sup>3</sup> The acquiring government's application of recognition principles may result in recognizing assets or liabilities that the acquired organization was not required to recognize. In addition, the acquiring government also should identify any deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources of acquired governments for inclusion with assets or liabilities that qualify for recognition based on applicable guidance.
- 31. Amounts recognized by the acquiree from previous acquisition transactions as deferred outflows of resources (or as goodwill by a nongovernmental entity) for circumstances in which the consideration provided exceeded the net position acquired should not be recognized by the acquiring government.
- 32. The acquiring government should measure the acquired assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources, except for balances noted in paragraphs 31 and 33–36 of this Statement, at acquisition value as of the acquisition date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See footnote 1.

For purposes of this Statement, *acquisition value* is a market-based entry price. An entry price is assumed to be based on an orderly transaction entered into on the acquisition date. Acquisition value represents the price that would be paid for acquiring similar assets, having similar service capacity, or discharging the liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date.

### Exceptions to the Use of Acquisition Value

- 33. The acquiring government should measure liabilities (and assets, if any) related to the acquiree's employment benefit arrangements, such as compensated absences, pensions, other postemployment benefits, or termination benefits, using the accounting and financial reporting requirements for state and local governments that are applicable to those transactions to the extent such benefits are not terminated.
- 34. The acquiring government should measure liabilities (and assets, if any) related to the acquiree's municipal solid waste landfill closure and postclosure care costs or obligations for pollution remediation using the accounting and financial reporting requirements for state and local governments that are applicable to those transactions.
- 35. The acquiring government should measure investments, including derivatives, that are required to be reported at fair value using the accounting and financial reporting requirements for state and local governments that are applicable to those transactions.
- 36. Deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources should be measured at the carrying values previously reported by the acquired government, except for those that relate to effective hedging arrangements as provided for in paragraph 20 of Statement No. 53, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments*. Those deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources should be adjusted to reflect the difference between the acquisition value and the carrying value of acquired hedged items.<sup>4</sup> Any remaining deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources should be accounted for by the acquiring government in conformity with the provisions of paragraph 22 of Statement 53.

#### Consideration

37. The consideration provided by the acquiring government should be measured at the acquisition date as the sum of the values, as determined in conformity with paragraphs 32–36, of the assets remitted (generally, cash) or liabilities incurred to the former owners of the acquired entity. Negative net position of an entity recognized in a government merger or a transfer of operations that does not include the exchange of significant consideration (a net liability assumed by the combined government) does not constitute consideration given for purposes of this Statement.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>If the hedged item is an expected transaction, as discussed in paragraph 29 of Statement 53, there is no asset or liability to be recognized by the combined government at acquisition value. Consequently, a deferred outflow of resources or a deferred inflow of resources attributable to an effective hedge of an expected transaction should be recognized by the combined government at its carrying value.

- 38. A government acquisition may include the potential transfer of cash or other assets that is contingent upon specified events in the future. Contingent consideration should be recognized in conformity with Statement 62, paragraphs 96–112, which describe the accounting and financial reporting requirements for contingencies. For example, the acquiring government should recognize estimated liabilities arising from contingent consideration arrangements when information available prior to the issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the obligation can be reasonably estimated. Additional amounts of consideration provided under contingent consideration arrangements should be recognized as an adjustment to deferred outflows of resources, contributions, or noncurrent assets acquired as described in paragraphs 39–41 of this Statement.
- 39. For circumstances in which the consideration provided exceeds the net position acquired (as determined by applying the provisions of paragraphs 29–36 of this Statement), the acquiring government should report the difference as a deferred outflow of resources. The deferred outflow of resources should be attributed to future periods in a systematic and rational manner, based on professional judgment, considering the relevant circumstances of the acquisition. For example, the length of the attribution period may be determined by considering such factors as the following:
- a. The estimated service lives of capital assets acquired when acquisitions are largely based on the expected use of those capital assets
- b. The estimated remaining service life for acquisitions of landfills that are capacity-driven
- c. The expected length of contracts acquired
- d. The estimated remaining service life of technology acquired, if the acquisition is based on the expected efficiencies of a technology system.

A government should periodically review and revise its estimate of the attribution period for subsequent reporting periods.

- 40. For circumstances in which the consideration provided is less than the net position acquired (as determined by applying the provisions of paragraphs 29–36 of this Statement), the acquiring government should eliminate the excess net position acquired by reducing the acquisition values assigned to the noncurrent assets (except long-term investments that are reported at fair value) that are acquired unless the conditions of the acquisition arrangement indicate that a contribution should be recognized by the acquiring government, as explained in the following paragraph. If the allocation reduces the acquisition value of the acquired noncurrent assets to zero, the remainder of the excess should be recognized as a special item.
- 41. The acquiring government should recognize a contribution for circumstances in which the seller intends to accept a lower price in order to provide economic benefit to the acquiring government without directly receiving equal value in exchange. The provisions of an arrangement should indicate whether economic aid is intended.

#### **Acquisition Costs**

42. Acquisition costs are the costs the acquiring government incurs to effect a government acquisition. Acquisition costs include, but are not limited to, fees for legal, accounting, valuation, professional, or consulting services. The acquiring government should recognize an expense for any acquisition costs in the periods in which the costs are incurred and the services are received. The costs to issue debt should be recognized in conformity with applicable financial reporting requirements for state and local governments.

### **Intra-Entity Government Acquisitions**

43. When accounting for government acquisitions within the same financial reporting entity, the acquiring government should recognize the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources at the carrying values of the selling entity. The difference between the acquisition price and the carrying value of the net position transferred should be reported as a special item by the government transferee in its separately issued statements and reclassified as transfers or subsidies, as appropriate, in the financial statements of the reporting entity.<sup>5</sup>

# **Reporting Government Acquisitions on a Provisional Basis**

44. If the initial measurement of certain assets or liabilities is not finalized by the end of the reporting period in which the government acquisition occurs, the acquiring government should recognize in its financial statements estimated amounts for the items for which the measurement is not finalized. The acquiring government should prospectively recognize the estimated amounts reported at the acquisition date to reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have affected the measurement of amounts recognized as of that date.

# **Reporting Government Acquisitions in Governmental Fund Financial Statements**

45. In a government acquisition, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that will be reported in governmental funds should be recognized pursuant to the financial reporting requirements for governmental funds. The net fund balance acquired should be recognized by the acquiring government as a special item in the statements of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances in the period of acquisition.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Application of the provisions of this Statement should be the same for both discretely presented and blended component units. That is, the standard should first be applied in the separate financial statements of the component unit.

# **Transfers of Operations**

- 46. For the types of arrangements described in paragraph 12 of this Statement, the effective transfer date is the date the transferee government obtains control of the assets and becomes obligated for the liabilities of the operation transferred. A transfer of operations should be presented as a transaction in the financial statements of continuing transferee governments for the reporting period in which it occurs. Alternatively, if a transfer of operations results in the formation of a new governmental entity, the new government's initial reporting period begins at the effective transfer date. Transfers of operations should be recognized by a government transferor in conformity with the provisions for disposals of government operations in paragraphs 51–53 of this Statement.
- 47. As of the effective transfer date, the transferee government should recognize the carrying values of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the government's or nongovernmental entity's operations. If the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, or deferred inflows of resources of one or more of the transferor entities' operations are not recognized and measured in conformity with authoritative guidance for state and local governments, 6 those elements should be adjusted to bring them into conformity with that guidance before the transferee government recognizes the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources related to a transferred operation. The transferee government should not recognize additional assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, or deferred inflows of resources that authoritative guidance for state and local governments does not require or permit the transferor to recognize (for example, intangible assets that were not required to be reported). The net position received by a continuing transferee government should be reported as a special item. Alternatively, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of an operation received when establishing a new government should be included in the statement of net position at the beginning of its initial reporting period.
- 48. The entities involved with a transfer of operations may have measured the assets or liabilities by applying different, but acceptable, methods of accounting in their separate financial statements. Transferee governments may adjust the amounts of those assets or liabilities to reflect a consistent method of accounting as long as those methods comply with the accounting and financial reporting requirements for state and local governments. Adjustments to reflect a consistent method of accounting should be applied to the balances carried forward into the transferee government's financial statements. An explanation of those adjustments should be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements as described in paragraph 55b of this Statement. Assets or liabilities associated with the operations reported in the separate financial statements of the transferor that are based on accounting estimates should be carried forward into the balances of a transferee government's financial statements without modifications. Changes in accounting estimates should be recognized in the flows statement of the transferee government.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>See footnote 1.

49. If decisions are made before the effective transfer date to dispose of capital assets and the transferee government will use those capital assets until the disposal occurs, those capital assets should be measured and reported at the carrying values by the transferee government. However, if the transferee government will not use those capital assets, those capital assets identified for disposal should be evaluated for impairment by the transferee government. The transferee government should provide an explanation of adjustments for impairment in the notes to the financial statements as described in paragraph 55b. Similarly, if decisions are made before the effective transfer date that the manner or duration of use of specific capital assets will change, the transferee government should evaluate those capital assets for impairment.

# Reporting Transfers of Operations in Governmental Fund Financial Statements

50. For transfers of operations discussed in paragraph 12 of this Statement, the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources that will be reported in governmental funds should be recognized pursuant to the financial reporting requirements for governmental funds. Transferee governments should recognize the net fund balance of an operation it receives as a special item in the statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances in the period in which the transfer occurs.

### **Disposals of Government Operations**

- 51. Transferor governments should recognize a gain or loss on the disposal of operations. Gains or losses on disposals of operations should be reported as a special item in the period in which they occur, based on either the effective date of the transfer of an operation or the date of sale for operations that are sold.
- 52. The amount of the gain or loss on the disposal of operations should not include adjustments and costs associated with the normal operating activities of the operation up to the measurement date. Governments should include only those costs that are directly associated with a government's disposal of operations when determining the amount of the gain or loss to report.
- 53. Costs directly associated with the disposal of a government's operations include, but are not limited to, benefits provided to a government's employees for involuntary terminations, contract termination costs, or other associated costs, such as fees for professional services. These costs should be recognized and measured using existing financial reporting requirements. For example, the costs of benefits provided to a government's employees for involuntary terminations should be measured and recognized using Statement No. 47, *Accounting for Termination Benefits*.<sup>7</sup>

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The use of existing financial reporting requirements, such as Statement 47, to recognize costs directly associated with a disposal of government operations may result in initial recognition of those costs in a period earlier than the measurement dates in paragraph 51 of this Statement. In those instances, costs associated with a disposal of operations would not be included in the gain or loss on the disposal of government operations.

#### **Disclosures**

#### **All Government Combinations**

- 54. For each government combination, governments should include the following information in the notes to financial statements, in the period in which a combination occurs:
- a. A brief description of the government combination, including identification of the entities involved in the combination and whether the participating entities were included within the same financial reporting entity
- b. The date of the combination
- c. A brief description of the primary reasons for the combination.

#### Government Mergers and Transfers of Operations

- 55. New governments or continuing governments also should disclose the following information:
- a. The amounts recognized as of the merger date or the effective transfer date as follows:
  - (1) Total assets—distinguishing between current assets, capital assets, and other assets
  - (2) Total deferred outflows of resources
  - (3) Total liabilities—distinguishing between current and long-term amounts
  - (4) Total deferred inflows of resources
  - (5) Total net position by component
- b. A brief description of the nature and amount of significant adjustments made to bring into conformity the individual accounting policies or to adjust for impairment of capital assets resulting from the merger or transfer
- c. The initial amounts recognized by the new or continuing government, if different from the values in (a) and the differences that arise from modifying the carrying values in (a) by the adjustments in (b).

#### Government Acquisitions

- 56. In the period in which an acquisition occurs, an acquiring government also should disclose the following information:
- a. A brief description of the consideration provided
- b. The total amount of net position acquired (based on the provisions set forth in paragraphs 29–36 of this Statement) as of the date of acquisition
- c. A brief description of contingent consideration arrangements, including the basis for determining the amount of payments that are contingent.

### **Disposals of Government Operations**

57. In the period in which operations are transferred or sold, a government should provide a brief description of the facts and circumstances leading to the disposal of those operations. In addition, the government should identify and disclose information about the disposed government operation's total expenses, revenues, and nonoperating revenues and expenses (if applicable) of the period, if not separately presented in the government's financial statements.

#### EFFECTIVE DATE AND TRANSITION

58. The requirements of this Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and should be applied prospectively. Earlier application is encouraged.

The provisions of this Statement need not be applied to immaterial items.

# Appendix A

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 59. Historically, when combinations have occurred in the governmental environment, for example, when a city and county have joined together to form a consolidated government, the financial reporting guidance generally followed was Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 16, Business Combinations. Specifically, the "pooling of interests" guidance and the "purchase method" were followed to the extent that those methods could be applied to government combinations. In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 141, Business Combinations, which superseded APB Opinion 16. That Statement eliminated the pooling of interest method and required that all business combinations be accounted for by the purchase method. In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141(R), which superseded Statement 141, while retaining the fundamental requirements of the original Statement. FASB Statement 141(R) did not apply to combinations of not-for-profit organizations or the acquisition of a for-profit business by a not-for-profit organization. The FASB issued Statement No. 164, Not-for-Profit Entities: Mergers and Acquisitions, in April 2009 to address accounting for combinations involving not-for-profit entities. FASB Statement 164 distinguishes between mergers and acquisitions and provides that a not-for-profit entity resulting from a merger account for the combination using the carryover method. The carryover method requires the new entity to measure the combined assets or liabilities at the amounts reported in the separate financial statements of the merging entities.
- GASB pronouncements do not specifically refer to APB Opinion 16; however, the pre-November 30, 1989, applicability provision in paragraph 17 of Statement No. 34, Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments, affirmed its applicability. Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements, issued in December 2010, did not incorporate the provisions of APB Opinion 16 into the GASB literature. As noted in the Basis for Conclusions of Statement 62, the Board considered whether to incorporate the APB Opinion 16 provisions that are applicable to consolidation, acquisition, or other means of combination as interim guidance or to exclude APB Opinion 16 provisions from incorporation into the GASB literature until the Board could perform comprehensive research on government combinations. However, due to the substantive modifications that would have been necessary to adapt the criteria for when a combination should be treated as a pooling-of-interest versus a purchase or acquisition to the government environment, the Board determined that APB Opinion 16 and its related amendments and interpretations should be excluded from incorporation, and the accounting and financial reporting of government combinations should be fully addressed in a separate project. As a result, the authoritative literature for governments to follow when accounting for combinations is derived from prevalent practice at the "other accounting literature" level of the GAAP

hierarchy. The existence of the FASB's combination standards, coupled with the status of APB Opinion 16 in the authoritative literature highlighted the necessity for combinations standards that would be applicable to governmental entities and the unique environment of governmental operations.

- 61. The Board also considered incorporating APB Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations—Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions, into Statement 62. APB Opinion 30 addresses accounting for the disposal of a segment of a business. As explained in paragraph 8 of that Opinion, this refers to a segment of a business "that has been sold, abandoned, spun off, or otherwise disposed of or, although still operating, is the subject of a formal plan for disposal." Consistent with its decision regarding APB Opinion 16, the Board concluded that provisions on disposals of a segment of a business should not be incorporated into the GASB literature with Statement 62 and that this topic should be addressed in the separate project along with government combinations.
- 62. A proposal for the original government combinations project was presented to the Board in December 2003. The Board concluded at that time that the project should be included on the research agenda. The project was transferred to the potential projects list in January 2006. The project staff conducted its initial research to identify the accounting and financial reporting issues that potentially could be addressed in this project. The research included an analysis of the statutes and constitutions of states to determine under what conditions combinations and mergers of general or special-purpose local governments are permissible and the processes that the combining governments are required to undertake in accomplishing the combinations. In April 2010, the Governmental Accounting Standards Advisory Council (GASAC) was provided with a staff update on the research to date on the project and ranked it as one of its highest priorities. A project prospectus on government combinations was discussed by the GASAC in October 2010. At the December 2010 meeting, the Board reviewed the project prospectus, considering the GASAC member input, and the GASB chairman added the project to the current technical agenda.
- 63. A task force was assembled in January 2011, comprising 13 persons broadly representative of the GASB's constituency. The task force members reviewed and commented on papers prepared for the Board's deliberations and on a draft of this Exposure Draft. In addition, further input was sought from the GASAC members at its meetings.

# Appendix B

#### **BASIS FOR CONCLUSIONS**

64. This appendix discusses factors considered significant by Board members in reaching the conclusions in this Statement. It includes discussion of the alternatives considered and the Board's reasons for accepting some and rejecting others. Individual Board members may have given greater weight to some factors than to others.

# Scope and Applicability

65. The primary objective of this Statement is to consider the accounting and financial reporting requirements for government combinations that are accomplished through mergers, acquisitions, and transfers of operations that occur in the governmental environment. The scope extends to government combinations that occur in both general governmental activities and business-type activities. The term *government combination*, as used in this Statement, refers to a variety of arrangements, the substance of which vary. Consequently, the accounting and financial reporting provisions of this Statement vary to suit the relevant characteristics of each type of arrangement. The accounting and financial reporting guidance for government combinations is organized on the basis of government mergers (government combinations without the presence of significant consideration), government acquisitions (government combinations having significant consideration), and combinations that include transfers of operations. In addition, this Statement addresses disposals of operations, from the disposing government's perspective, for situations in which a government transfers or sells operations.

# **Definition of Operations**

- 66. The provisions of this Statement apply to both combinations of entire legally separate entities and mergers and acquisitions of activities that comprise less than an entire legally separate entity and involve only the assets and liabilities previously used by an entity to provide specific goods or services. The Board believes that guidance in this Statement is necessary to distinguish arrangements that represent government combinations from other arrangements such as purchases or donations of an individual asset or a group of assets. This Statement uses the term *operations* to refer to an integrated set of activities conducted and managed for the purpose of providing identifiable services with associated assets or liabilities.
- 67. The Board acknowledges that the determination of whether groups of assets represent operations, for purposes of identifying government combinations, may be based on the assessment of several qualitative factors. The Board identified several potential qualitative characteristics for identifying operations within the governmental environment. The Board considered that fund accounting systems may represent a potential determinant of operations, especially in instances in which special revenue or enterprise funds are used

to account for a single activity of a government. However, the Board observed that a single fund may contain multiple activities; alternatively, a single activity may be allocated across multiple funds of a government. The Board determined that fund accounting systems alone did not provide a reliable means for deriving financial information about an operation of a government.

68. The Board also considered segments (encompassing the notion of identifiable activities), as described in Statements No. 34, Basic Financial Statements—Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments, and No. 37, Basic Financial Statements—and Management's Discussion and Analysis—for State and Local Governments: Omnibus. The Board observed that segments, as they are described in those Statements, apply only to enterprise funds and therefore would likely require significant modification in order to apply to activities accounted for in other fund types. The Board also considered International Public Sector Accounting Standard No. 18, Segment Reporting, for characteristics of groups of assets and liabilities used to provide specific goods or services to serve as a basis for determining operations. The Board noted the relevancy of factors such as the primary operating objectives of the entity; the goods, services, and activities that relate to the achievement of each of those objectives; whether resources are allocated and budgeted on the basis of groups of goods and services; and the nature of the goods or services provided or activities undertaken and whether this reflects the way in which the entity is managed and financial information is reported to senior management and the governing board. The Board concluded that the determination of whether groups of assets represent operations may be based on a variety of factors and, therefore, requires the use of professional judgment. The term operation, as defined in this Statement, is purposefully broad to include the many ways in which governments deliver services to their constituents. The definition contains the basic features described by the Board that (a) operations should possess an integrated set of assets or liabilities and (b) those assets or liabilities should be associated with an identifiable service, function, or activity of a government or other organization.

# The Financial Reporting Entity

- 69. This Statement does not address government combinations from the perspective of obtaining control of another organization that continues to exist as a separate entity. In the governmental financial reporting model, those organizations that retain separate legal standing may be included in a financial reporting entity as component units. In the nongovernmental setting, the notion of control is used to determine the acquirer of the combining entities. The Board observed in its deliberations that usefulness of that determination is significantly diminished for government combinations because the notion of control is addressed in Statement No. 14, *The Financial Reporting Entity*, as amended by Statement No. 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus*, within the broader context of financial accountability.
- 70. Changes in the component unit relationships of combining governments are likely to occur. For government combinations, the structure and relationships of governing boards, which provide the primary basis for including component units within a reporting entity, are likely to be affected. The Board believes that a new analysis of relationships with the

existing component units of the combining governments and relationships with other organizations is necessary. For example, if the combining governments cease to exist as legally separate entities, their relationships with other organizations as the new combined government should be reexamined as a matter of applying the financial reporting entity requirements to the new entity. If one of the combining governments survives the combination in its legally separate form, its previous component unit relationships likely would not change, but the relationships with any component units of the other governments that are merged or acquired should be evaluated.

71. This Statement provides that transfers of operations from a government to another entity may, in some instances, result in the transferor government recognizing an equity interest in a joint venture or a component unit rather than a gain or loss on disposal. This Statement requires that governments continue to apply the provisions of Statement 14, as amended, for accounting and financial reporting a government's participation in joint ventures in which there is an equity interest.

#### **Service Continuation**

72. The Board believes that determining whether certain arrangements represent a government combination subject to the requirements in this Statement requires consideration of the services that entities or their operations provide and whether the services will continue to be provided after the combination. This Statement provides a principles-based approach for identifying government combinations that is based on the notion of service continuation. The essential distinction is whether a merged government (or acquiring government) will continue to provide services formerly provided by the individual governments or organizations. For example, if the assets of the operations of a city's fire department are transferred to a county's fire department as the result of an intergovernmental agreement, and the county will be responsible for fire protection services to the city's constituency and will use the transferred assets in its fire protective service operations, service continuation is presumed. The Board concluded that the specific provisions and circumstances of government combinations will likely indicate whether services will continue. However, the Board acknowledges the possibility that determining whether services continue will sometimes require the use of professional judgment.

# **Types of Government Combinations**

73. The Board determined that it would be necessary to include guidance for identifying types of government combinations in this Statement to assist governments in applying the accounting and financial reporting requirements of this Statement in a consistent manner. This Statement requires the identification of government combinations as *government mergers*, *government acquisitions*, or *transfers of operations*. The defining feature used in this Statement for distinguishing between government mergers and government acquisitions is the transfer of significant consideration. The Board believes the presence of significant consideration provides a suitable basis for distinguishing between government mergers and government acquisitions, this Statement also includes accounting and financial reporting guidance for

government combination arrangements that involve transfers of operations. Transfers of operations may be present in certain arrangements that occur in the governmental environment, such as shared service arrangements, reorganizations, annexations, redistricting, reorganizations, or for arrangements in which an operation of a government is transferred to a new government entity created to provide those services. These arrangements are similar in nature to government mergers in the sense that they are transacted without the exchange of significant, if any, consideration. Therefore, the accounting and financial reporting requirements of this Statement for such arrangements are similar to the provisions of this Statement for government mergers.

# **Government Mergers**

- 74. The term *government merger* is used in this Statement to refer to government combinations of separate entities in which no significant consideration is exchanged. In some mergers, two or more separate entities cease to exist in their current forms and one or more new separate governments are established. In other instances, a single entity is dissolved and its services are assumed by one or more existing governments that continue to exist. Portions of a dissolved entity might be allocated between two or more governments as opposed to being merged within only one government.
- 75. As indicated in the description of government mergers used in paragraph 10 of this Statement, some merging entities will cease to exist as legally separate entities and a new governmental entity will be created. In other mergers, legally separate entities may be dissolved and their operations absorbed into one or more existing governments, but a new entity is not created. Rather, an existing entity continues to exist in an altered state. The initial presentation of a merged government's financial statements will depend on whether a new governmental entity is created as the result of the merger or whether an existing entity will continue to exist.
- 76. This Statement requires presentation of financial statements as of the effective merger date for situations in which a new legally separate government is created as a result of the merger arrangement. The Board believes the use of the effective merger date appropriately portrays an economic event that occurs when entities combine and demarks the date when a new governmental entity is created. The Board acknowledged that basing the presentation of financial information on the effective merger date might result in "short-year" presentations of the merged government's initial reporting period. The Board noted that government mergers generally are designed to become effective at the first day of the merged government's fiscal year.
- 77. For government mergers in which new legally separate entities are not created, this Statement requires the initial presentation of financial information as of the beginning of the continuing government's reporting period. That approach is consistent with APB Opinion 16, which required a merged government to present the financial statements as if the merger would have taken place at the beginning of the reporting period. The Board believes that presentation of financial statements for continuing governments provides consistency with the requirements contained in Statement 62 for reporting changes in the reporting entity. The Board believes that this presentation provides useful information for

assessing trends and evaluating how the continuing government's financial position has changed as a result of the merger arrangement.

- 78. This Statement requires that new or continuing governments initially recognize and measure the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources at the carrying values of the combining entities. The Board observed that a significant factor that may lead to decisions to merge governments is the intent to reduce the cost of services through achievement of economies of scale or by elimination of similar services. The Board believes that initial recognition at the carrying values previously reported by the pre-merger governments retains the historical perspective of reporting assets and liabilities that are significant to the delivery of services. The Board observed that in the period immediately before and immediately after a government merger, there is likely no perceivable difference in the services provided and, therefore, the impact on cost of services should be minimal when the same assets are used to provide essentially the same services before and after the governments merge.
- 79. The Board also considered the use of a "fresh-start" method for recognition and measurement purposes for government mergers in which a new entity is created. The concept for fresh-start accounting is based on the view that newly combined entities are essentially brand new entities for financial reporting purposes. Applying a fresh-start valuation approach to a new government could require that the assets and liabilities of the combining entities be recognized at fair value. The Board is deliberating the definition of fair value in a separate project. In light of those ongoing deliberations the Board concluded that application of a fresh-start method likely would require the expanded application of fair value to financial reporting topics beyond the scope of this Statement.
- 80. The Board believes that the use of carrying values for recognition and measurement of government mergers should begin with the premise that the merging entities' assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources are recognized and measured in conformity with authoritative accounting and financial reporting guidance applicable to state and local governments. The Board concluded that the absence of an explicit requirement in this Statement may result in the omission of significant assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, or deferred inflows of resources.
- 81. With regard to recognition and measurement, this Statement requires that a two-step process be utilized to establish the initial balances of a new or continuing government. The first step in the process is to bring forward the carrying values of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources. The second step is to adjust the carrying values, as considered necessary or desirable, to bring into alignment the accounting principles that will be used by the combined government. The process to establish the initial opening balances of the new or continuing government is disclosed in the initial financial statements of the new or continuing government within the context of a restatement disclosure as required by paragraph 55b. The Board believes this approach provides a basic foundation for transitioning from the pre-merger to the post-merger amounts reported by the merged government.

### **Adjustments of Accounting Policies**

- 82. The use of carrying values for recognition and measurement purposes for government mergers implies that the classifications, assumptions, estimates, and other principles underlying or related to the reported amounts of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the participating entities also should be carried forward into a combined government. The Board considered that a potential disadvantage of applying a carry-forward approach is the possibility that similar assets or liabilities will be combined even though they may have been measured by the merging entities using different but acceptable accounting principles or policies. The Board concluded that significant differences in the measurement of similar assets or liabilities due to the application of different accounting principles should be considered when establishing the opening balances of the new or continuing government. This Statement allows for modifications to bring accounting policies into alignment by adjusting the balances carried forward into a new or continuing government. Some conforming changes, if applied, may result in restatements of previously reported amounts and, therefore, affect the opening balances of the carried forward amounts. In its deliberations, the Board observed that a governmental financial reporting entity is not precluded from having multiple accounting policies. The approach taken in this Statement provides flexibility to merged entities that may wish to continue to utilize the accounting methods or policies of a previous entity as long as those methods and policies are in conformity with authoritative guidance for state and local governments.
- 83. The Board concluded that changes in accounting estimates should be accounted for prospectively as period costs of the new merged government based on the view that future events and their effects cannot be perceived with certainty and should reflect the estimates of the new government's management prospectively from the date of the combination. In addition, the Board believes that restating the carrying values at the time of a merger to incorporate changes in estimates would be inconsistent with the requirement in Statement 62 that changes in accounting estimates are not accounted for by restating amounts from prior periods.

#### **Adjustments for Capital Asset Impairment**

84. Because capital assets such as buildings, infrastructure, roads, bridges, tunnels, dams, and sewer systems represent a significant classification of assets that governments deploy in the delivery of services, they present an important consideration for modifications to carrying amounts. One concern expressed by the Board about using carrying values of capital assets was the potential overstatement of the remaining service capacity of those assets from the perspective of the new merged government. Statement No. 42, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Impairment of Capital Assets and for Insurance Recoveries, provides accounting and financial reporting guidance for impairment of capital assets and requires governments to evaluate prominent events or changes in circumstances affecting capital assets to determine whether impairment has occurred. The Board noted that governments will have considered pre-merger impairment of their capital assets, based upon the indicators in Statement 42, given the requirement of this Statement that the merging entities' assets be measured and recognized in conformity

with authoritative accounting and financial reporting guidance for state and local governments.

- 85. The Board considered that there are at least two aspects to government mergers that raise the possibility of capital asset impairment: (a) decisions to sell or otherwise dispose of capital assets and (b) decisions that result in changes in the manner or duration of use of capital assets. The Board believes that, in some government mergers, decisions that affect the manner or expected duration of use of capital assets will occur. For example, an administrative office facility might be reassigned to another function in the event a newly merged government finds itself with two such facilities. In this example, a decision to use one of the facilities for a different purpose might represent an impairment indicator requiring further analysis of impairment.
- 86. The Board concluded that to remain consistent with Statement 42, if the combining entities decide to dispose of capital assets before the actual date of the combination and the new or continuing government will continue to use those assets as originally intended until the disposal occurs, the combined government should recognize those capital assets at their carrying values in its initial opening balances. However, if capital assets will no longer be used by the combined government, the carried forward amounts of capital assets should be evaluated for impairment.

#### **Elimination of Intra-Entity Transactions**

87. Transactions may have occurred between the entities that will be merged, resulting in reported amounts payable and receivable between the combining governments such as notes receivable, notes payable, or intergovernmental receivables or payables. The Board observed that some merger agreements likely will address the disposition of those balances based on the applicable state laws or statutes governing mergers of separate governments. This Statement does not require the elimination of the effects of pre-merger intra-entity activities. The Board determined that pre-merger transactions between the merging governments that would be included in the flows statement of the merging government (which would occur only in combinations in which a new entity is not created) should be considered for elimination in the process of aggregating financial reporting information subject to the limitations in paragraph 60 of Statement 34.

# **Government Acquisitions**

## **Recognition and Measurement**

88. For completeness, the Board concluded that an acquiring government should identify the assets acquired and liabilities assumed that are recognized in conformity with authoritative guidance for state and local governments. The Board noted that in doing so, an acquiring government may discover items that an acquired entity had not previously recognized because it was not required to do so. The Board considered a situation in which a previously unrecognized intangible asset could have significance to the acquiring government. For instance, if the acquired government is a phase 3 government, as described in Statement 34, that has not retroactively recognized an intangible asset for

acquired water rights, that intangible asset likely would have significant value to the acquiring government and, consequently, should be recognized in the financial statements of the acquiring government.

- 89. The purchase method described in APB Opinion 16 requires the acquiring entity to allocate the cost of acquiring an entity to each of the assets and liabilities acquired. APB Opinion 16 requires the use of fair values in making allocations of the purchase price; however, the guidance also describes other basic guidelines for assigning amounts. Similarly, the acquisition method described in FASB Statements 141(R) and 164 generally requires measurements of assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on fair value. Under the acquisition method, measurements of assets and liabilities based on fair value are believed to provide greater comparability and understandability than measurements based on the application of different measures. These financial reporting requirements are similar in that each method contains a general requirement for the use of fair value measurements. This Statement, however, requires that acquired assets and liabilities generally be measured at their acquisition value (as defined in paragraph 32) as of the acquisition date because acquisition value corresponds to the price for acquiring similar assets or discharging similar liabilities that result from a market-based exchange. The Board observed that in some instances, acquisition value and fair value may result in similar measurements. However, significant differences may exist between acquisition values and fair values for items such as nonfinancial assets. For example, there may be considerable differences in the values assigned to capital assets based on the application of either an entry price or an exit price. The Board concluded that for acquired nonfinancial assets, such as capital assets, acquisition values provide a more relevant measurement basis for government acquisition transactions.
- 90. As noted earlier, the Board is deliberating the definition of fair value in a separate project. In light of those ongoing deliberations, the Board concluded that an acquiring government should continue to report investments at fair value for those investments that are acquired as part of a government combination and that are currently required by authoritative guidance for state and local governments to be reported at fair value.
- 91. This Statement requires an acquiring government to recognize and measure assets and liabilities resulting from employee benefit arrangements in conformity with applicable financial reporting requirements. The Board considered the complexities associated with determining obligations under Statements No. 27, Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers, and No. 45, Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, and concluded that it would not be practical to require postemployment obligations to be remeasured using an alternative basis such as acquisition value. The Board likely would have had to reconsider provisions of those Statements in addition to the guidance it has proposed in its June 2011 Exposure Draft, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, to determine how the concept of acquisition value would be applicable. The Board concluded that this Statement should require amounts to be measured in conformity with existing accounting and financial reporting requirements because they provide relevant measures of those specific assets and liabilities based upon the employer's postemployment benefit arrangements.

- 92. This Statement's requirement to use existing financial reporting requirements also extends to recognition and measurement of other employee benefits such as compensated absences or termination benefits. Statement No. 16, Accounting for Compensated Absences, describes accounting and financial reporting for vacation leave and other similar compensated absences. The Board believes that the liabilities for compensated absences described in Statement 16 produce employment related liabilities that are relevant because they are based on the specific agreements that employers have with their employees. The Board concluded that liabilities of an acquiree for compensated absences should continue to be determined in conformity with Statement 16, to the extent that the obligation for those benefits will be honored by the combined government. Similarly, the Board concluded that liabilities of an acquiree for termination benefits should continue to be determined in conformity Statement No. 47, Accounting for Termination Benefits.
- 93. The Board believes that, for government acquisitions, the most relevant measures for both municipal solid waste landfill (MSWLF) closure and postclosure care liabilities and pollution remediation liabilities are based on an evaluation of (a) the facts and circumstances relating to each liability and (b) the current costs that are estimated to be necessary to satisfy those obligations. Those estimates are developed using relevant information available at the time of measurement about the specific circumstances. Measurements that are based on current costs and expectations are more relevant for pollution and landfill obligations because there is a logical relationship between a liability and the factors upon which the obligation is based. Therefore, the Board concluded that liabilities for MSWLF closure and postclosure care costs and pollution remediation obligations should continue to be measured and recognized in conformity with existing financial reporting requirements rather than at acquisition value for purposes of this Statement.

#### Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources

- 94. The Board considered the possibility that a government may acquire another organization that had recognized amounts as a deferred outflow of resources (or as goodwill by a nongovernmental entity) from previous acquisition transactions in which the consideration provided exceeded the net position acquired. Given the requirement of this Statement to recognize excess amounts of consideration provided as a deferred outflow of resources, the Board concluded that a pre-existing deferred outflow of resources of that nature will ultimately be considered within that deferred outflow amount of the acquiring government.
- 95. The Board considered that governments also may have to account for and report acquisitions of governments with balances reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. Because deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources are not considered assets or liabilities by Concepts Statement No. 4, *Elements of Financial Statements*, the question arises as to whether the items deferred for recognition in future periods by an acquired entity are relevant to the acquiring government. The Board considered alternatives for addressing how "pre-acquisition" reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources could be addressed for government acquisitions.

- 96. The Board initially considered a requirement to exclude deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources from the items in government acquisitions. That alternative would reflect the notion that deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources are not part of the overall activity that an acquiring government seeks to purchase. The Board considered the argument that it is only the assets and liabilities that are acquired. However, the Board observed that some items that are classified as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources in the governmental model are classified as assets and liabilities, respectively, in the business and not-for-profit models. Consequently, the requirement in the FASB standards to identify all assets and liabilities acquired in an acquisition encompasses items that would be classified as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources in the governmental model. The Board concluded that not identifying those items as being acquired in a government acquisition could represent a difference that may impair comparability of similar acquisitions by a business-type activity and its private-sector counterpart.
- 97. Alternatively, the Board considered an approach in which the deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources are carried forward by the acquiring government. For example, if an acquired government reported a deferred inflow of resources from a service concession arrangement arising from a significant up-front payment, and the service concession arrangement were to continue after the acquisition, the Board considered whether it was appropriate to continue to attribute that deferred inflow of resources to future periods. By virtue of the definitions in Concepts Statement 4, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources are applicable to future reporting periods and, thus, recognition in the flows statements is dependent on the passage of time. The Board concluded that this characteristic does not change because the organization that entered into the arrangement has been acquired. Consequently, the Board determined that all elements in an acquiree's statement of position should be identified and that carrying over balances of deferred inflows and deferred outflows of resources (except those identified in paragraphs 31 and 36 and discussed in paragraphs 94 and 98) and retaining those balances in the combined government's statement of net position is appropriate until the necessary conditions exist for periodic attribution within the flows statement.
- 98. The Board concluded that a conceptual basis for remeasurement of deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources does not exist for government acquisitions, and one does not exist for excluding the assets or liabilities that are related to deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources from remeasurement. For example, if capital assets were contributed under a service concession arrangement, the contribution of capital assets that is being deferred is measured at the fair value on the date of the contribution. Subsequent increases or decreases in the fair value of the capital asset do not affect the amount of the contribution. The deferred inflows of resources recognized by the transferor government, in this instance, are based on the circumstances of the initial arrangement only. Accordingly, this Statement generally requires that deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources not be changed to reflect the remeasurement of associated assets or liabilities. Paragraph 36 in this Statement provides an exception to the requirement to measure recognized deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources at their carrying values. That exception, which

applies to deferred amounts from most effective hedging arrangements, is based on the notion that the hedged items, recognized by the acquired government at cost, would be remeasured at acquisition value pursuant to the requirement in paragraph 32, thereby potentially eliminating the basis for the initial recognition of a deferred outflow of resources or a deferred inflow of resources.

#### Consideration

- 99. For purposes of measuring government acquisitions, consideration includes the sum of the acquisition values of the assets transferred and liabilities incurred to the former owners of an acquired organization. Consideration may include the acquisition values of both financial and nonfinancial assets transferred; for example, cash, investments, land, or capital assets. In addition, a liability representing the obligation to provide consideration could be incurred to the former owners of the acquired organization. For example, a government may issue a note payable, in addition to or in lieu of cash, to the former owners of an organization in exchange for the net assets of that organization. In that case, the Board concluded that the acquiring government incurs a liability to the former owners, which constitutes consideration.
- 100. In the Board's deliberations about consideration, the assumption of the liabilities of an acquired organization was discussed as a form of consideration. The Board acknowledged that the assumption of negative net position is a form of consideration, but for purposes of this Statement, it does not constitute consideration provided, signifying that a government acquisition has taken place. To conclude otherwise would result in acquisition accounting for any combination in which the liabilities assumed exceed the assets acquired.
- 101. The Board observed that a quantitative measure of consideration was necessary for purposes of distinguishing government acquisitions from government mergers, thus limiting potential engineering of combination arrangements in order to remeasure assets and liabilities. The Board concluded that significant consideration should be part of an arrangement for a combination to be considered a government acquisition. Arrangements that involve the transfer of assets and liabilities in exchange for a nominal amount more closely resemble a government merger than the acquisition of another organization.
- 102. Government acquisitions may include agreements to transfer cash or other assets contingent upon specific events or transactions that may occur in the future. The Board observed that events may be difficult or impractical to predict and, therefore, concluded that consideration that is contingent on future events should be recognized as a component of consideration when the amounts are reasonably estimable and payment of consideration is probable, consistent with recognition and measurement of contingent liabilities as described in Statement 62.

# **Recognition of the Excess Consideration Provided or the Excess Net Position Received**

103. The Board considered several approaches for recognizing consideration in excess of the net position received in a government acquisition. A combination accounted for under the provisions of APB Opinion 16 recognizes the difference as an asset referred to as goodwill. The Board considered whether amounts of excess consideration should continue to be recognized as assets. Concepts Statement 4 defines assets as resources with present service capacity that the government presently controls. Paragraph 12 of Concepts Statement 4 indicates that the government controlling the asset, generally, has the ability to determine whether to (a) directly use the present service capacity to provide services; (b) exchange the present service capacity for another asset, such as cash; or (c) employ the asset in any other way it may provide benefit. The Board considered that future realization of economies of scale and efficiencies that arguably could be attributed to the excess purchase price might meet the criterion for providing future benefits but, excess consideration does not meet the definition of an asset because it does not represent a resource that can be drawn on to provide services.

104. The Board also considered whether the excess consideration provided should be reported as an outflow of resources of the acquiring government for the period when the acquisition occurs. Concepts Statement 4 defines an outflow of resources as "a consumption of net assets by the government that is applicable to the reporting period." The Board considered the position that excess amounts of consideration represent the "net cost" of the acquisition and, because the acquisition took place during the current period, the cost of the acquisition also could be a period cost—a consumption of resources that is applicable to the reporting period. However, because of the expected future realizations discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Board agreed that the transaction should not be attributed solely to the period of the acquisition.

105. The Board further considered recognizing the excess consideration as a deferred outflow of resources. Concepts Statement 4 defines a deferred outflow of resources as "a consumption of net assets by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period." In evaluating this alternative, the Board considered that although it believes that the excess acquisition amount does not meet the definition of an asset as defined in Concepts Statement 4, the excess inherently relates to services that the government will provide in the future based on the characteristics described in the preceding paragraphs. For that reason, the Board determined that amounts of excess consideration represent the consumption of net assets by the acquiring government that inherently are related to the future realization of economies of scale, cost reductions, or efficiencies of providing services and thus would satisfy the future applicability aspect of a deferred outflow of resources. The Board observed that the specific periods to which the consumption of net assets should be attributed may be difficult to determine; however, the realization of the value acquired will likely take place over future periods.

106. In some government acquisitions, the acquiring government purchases an entity or its operations for an amount less than the value of the assets acquired and liabilities

assumed (and the net carrying amount of deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources recognized). This Statement requires an approach similar to the guidance in APB Opinion 16 when the value of the net assets acquired exceeds the purchase price. The Board concluded that unless there is a clear indication by the transferor that acceptance of the lower purchase price constitutes a contribution, the excess amount received should be allocated to reduce proportionately the acquisition value amounts assigned to noncurrent assets. The Board believes that allocations to reduce the acquisition values assigned to noncurrent assets reflects the underlying value of the operation or entity as evidenced by a negotiated exchange between market participants. In the event this method of allocation reduces the value assigned for noncurrent assets to zero, the remainder should be recognized as a special item in the flows statement.

107. For circumstances when there is a clear indication by the transferor that acceptance of the lower purchase price constitutes a contribution, this Statement requires the acquiring government to recognize the difference as a contribution as of the acquisition date. The Board observed that in the government environment, acquisitions may not always represent an exchange transaction that presumes an exchange of equal value. Governments or other organizations may intend to make a contribution for the public benefit by agreeing to a below-market-priced transaction. The Board concluded that the acquiring government receives an economic benefit in this circumstance because the acquiring government is better off by the amount by which the value of what is acquired exceeds the value of the consideration given and, therefore, that amount should be recognized as a contribution in the flows statement.

# **Intra-Entity Government Acquisitions**

108. This Statement requires that sales of operations within the same financial reporting entity be accounted for in a manner that is consistent with the guidance described in Statement No. 48, Sales and Pledges of Receivables and Future Revenues and Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets and Future Revenues, regarding intra-entity transfers of assets. Paragraph 15 of Statement 48 requires that governments not revalue assets that are transferred between components of a financial reporting entity. This Statement builds upon the guidance described in Statement 48 regarding transfers of assets by requiring government acquisitions that occur within the financial reporting entity to be recognized using carrying values. The Board concluded that an approach similar to that taken in Statement 48, regarding intra-entity transfers of assets, avoids the perception that the reporting entity is better or worse off because of internal transactions. In other words, the financial position of a financial reporting entity should not be improved by establishing a new basis for its assets and liabilities resulting from "selling" an entity or its operations to another fund or to a component unit.

#### Reporting Government Acquisitions on a Provisional Basis

109. The Board considered the possibility that some government acquisitions may initially require the use of estimates to measure assets acquired or liabilities assumed. A government may require additional time to determine the measurement amounts of certain assets or liabilities. Similarly, the existence of contingent consideration arrangements require estimates to be used to determine whether financial statement recognition is appropriate. This Statement requires that if the initial measurement of specific assets or liabilities is not finalized by the end of the reporting period in which the government acquisition occurs, the acquiring government recognize in its financial statements estimated amounts for those assets or liabilities and prospectively adjust those amounts recognized to reflect new information as it is obtained. The Board believes that these measurements are similar to changes in other accounting estimates, which are accounted for prospectively.

# **Transfers of Operations**

110. As described in paragraph 12, transfers of operations are arrangements not involving the combination of legally separate entities; rather, those arrangements involve only portions of legally separate entities that either are transferred to existing governments or form the basis of new governments. Paragraph 12 describes a variety of circumstances that may include transfers of operations such as shared service arrangements, reorganizations, redistricting, annexations, or arrangements in which an operation of a government is transferred to a new governmental entity created to provide those services.

111. In a shared service arrangement, two or more governments agree to consolidate similar operations. For example, two governments may agree to consolidate the separate fire departments of each government into a single shared activity serving the constituents of both governments. The shared service arrangement could be in the form of a separate government, joint venture, jointly governed organization, joint operation, or cost-sharing arrangement. Alternatively, reorganization and annexation are terms that are commonly used to describe changes in the territorial boundaries of governments. In a government annexation arrangement, one government extends the bounds of its geographic footprint to include new incorporated or unincorporated areas. Often, annexations result only in changes in boundaries, and the annexed governments generally do not give up assets or gain relief from liabilities. However, in annexations in which assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources comprising an operation are transferred, those items are required to be recognized at the carrying amounts reported by the transferring government. Similarly, the Board observed that the term *redistricting* is frequently used to refer to situations in which boundary lines are redrawn. For example, redistricting may be used in a school district's enrollment rebalancing efforts. Sometimes, however, redistricting may involve the transfer of operations from one district to another. In those instances, the Board believes the redistricting is similar to reorganizations or annexations and, therefore, the measurement of the assets and liabilities transferred should be consistent. Lastly, some arrangements result in transfers of operations from an existing government to form the basis of a new government. Such arrangements represent the separation of a portion of a single government rather than a combination arrangement involving two or more legally separate governments. The Board believes that even though that arrangement may not be considered a government combination, per se, the provisions in paragraphs 46–50 are applicable.

112. The Board identified that transfers of operations are entered into by governments for the same reasons as mergers; however, they occur on a smaller scale. Thus, the measurements that are applicable to mergers also should be applicable to these arrangements. The Board believes that the use of carrying values for measurement purposes of transfers of operations provides consistency with government mergers and retains a predominantly historical cost perspective for the assets and liabilities that are utilized to deliver government services.

## **Disposals of Government Operations**

- 113. As explained in paragraph 535 of Statement 62, the Board deferred incorporation of guidance about disposals of the segments of a business into the authoritative literature for state and local governments until the issue could be addressed with topics related to government combinations. Paragraphs 51–53 of this Statement include accounting and financial reporting guidance for disposals of government operations.
- 114. The Board believes that recognition of a disposal of a government operation as of the effective date at which operations are transferred or sold corresponds to the underlying transaction. This Statement does not require governments to recognize government operations to be disposed of by a planned future sale. Statement 42 does not consider a capital asset that a government plans to sell, but is continuing to use as originally intended until the sale occurs, as having exhibited a change in the manner or expected duration of use. Thus, recognition of disposals of operations based on a plan of future sale may conflict with recognition of impairment of capital assets. In addition, this Statement does not address disposals of operations that are abandoned. The Board believes that accounting and financial reporting for abandonments are sufficiently addressed by the requirements of Statement 42, with regard to changes in the manner or expected duration of use of capital assets.

# Accounting for Additional Costs Associated with Disposals of Government Operations

115. The Board concluded that a government's disposal of an operation may include a plan of involuntary employee termination and, therefore, the additional cost of providing termination benefits should generally be included in the determination of a gain or loss reported for a disposal of operations. Liabilities for termination benefits should be measured in conformity with Statement 47, which requires governments to recognize a liability and expense for involuntary termination benefits when a plan of termination (a) has been approved by those with the authority to commit the government to the plan, (b) has been communicated to the employees, and (c) has amounts that can be estimated. The Board concluded that costs may be incurred incrementally when disposing of operations. For example, a liability and expense for termination benefits associated with an operation

that a government is disposing of may be recognized before the disposal of the assets related to an operation if a plan of termination has been approved in advance of the effective date of the disposal. In that situation, a government would recognize, as employee benefit expense, a portion of the costs of discontinuing an operation in an earlier reporting period. The Board determined that recognizing costs related to disposing of an operation incrementally corresponds with the underlying economic events that transpire with a disposal of operations. However, for financial reporting purposes, the costs associated with the disposal of an operation are those that are incurred in the period in which the net assets of an operation are transferred or sold.

116. Additionally, the Board observed that the disposal of a government operation may include the possibility that certain long-term contracts, such as an operating lease for facilities, may be terminated. As a result, this Statement requires governments to consider the cost of terminating contracts early when determining the gain or loss on a disposal of operations. The Board concluded that liabilities for terminating long-term contracts should be determined based on the existing guidance for determining the accrual of loss contingencies described in Statement 62. That is, the additional costs of terminating a contract should be recognized when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the obligation can be reasonably estimated.

117. The Board also discussed the likelihood that a government may incur professional costs, such as legal or accounting fees, directly associated with the transfer or sale of an operation. The Board concluded that professional fees should be recognized in the period in which the services are received, up to the effective date of the disposal. In addition, the Board concluded that a government should accrue any known costs of future goods and services related to the transfer or sale of an operation as of the effective date of the disposal.

#### Disclosures

#### **All Government Combinations**

118. This Statement requires governments to disclose in the notes to financial statements basic information about government combinations. These requirements include information such as identifying the type of government combination, the effective combination date, the entities involved, and the purpose of the combination. The Board concluded that, due to the complexity and variety of combination arrangements, it is essential for users of financial statements to have such information provided in a government's financial report to understand the financial effects of combination transactions.

## Government Mergers and Transfers of Operations

119. This Statement requires a disclosure for mergers and transfers of operations that first recognizes the carrying values of assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources of the combining entities followed by certain adjustments to determine the merged or transferred balances. The Board believes that this information is

essential to understand how amounts recognized in the new or continuing government's financial statements may differ from the amounts last reported for the merged entity or transferred operation and to explain the pertinent details of the combination.

120. The approach required by this Statement for determining the initial opening or transferred balances permits modifications to reflect the consistent application of accounting principles. The Board concluded that disclosure of those changes is essential to understanding the specific nature of changes. This Statement requires the nature of and reasons for changes in accounting principles to be disclosed. Similarly, this Statement also requires disclosure of adjustments made to recognize capital asset impairment. The Board concluded that additional detail about how capital assets that are impaired due to changes in the expected manner or duration of use or from decisions to sell capital assets would provide users with information about how capital assets and infrastructure are expected to be used by the merged government.

## Government Acquisitions

121. The Board concluded that the acquiring government should disclose the net position of the entity or operation that is acquired. This Statement also requires that the acquiring government provide a brief description of the consideration transferred and its acquisition date acquisition value. The Board observed that for many government acquisitions, consideration generally consists of cash. However, the Board acknowledged that nonfinancial assets such as capital assets also may be given to acquire an entity or operation. Alternatively, a government also may incur liabilities to the owners of an entity that it acquires, such as a note payable and, therefore, it would be essential to include a description of the terms of such financing arrangements in order to provide users with an understanding of the acquisition transaction.

## **Disposals of Government Operations**

122. The Board concluded that disclosures about the revenues and expenses of operations that are transferred or sold would provide information that helps enable users to assess the effects of the disposal in relation to the government's activities as a whole. For example, if the operations were formerly accounted for as a separate enterprise fund, sufficient information about the revenues and expenses of those operations is likely already available and additional disclosure is not required. However, if the operations disposed of are reported within a broader general government function (such as an emergency call center of a city's police and fire departments), disclosing a more detailed description of that operation's revenues and expenses is necessary to provide users with a sufficient understanding of the operations that are transferred or sold.

# **Effective Date and Transition**

123. This Statement is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after December 15, 2013. The Board believes the effective date allows sufficient time for implementation. Some governments may wish to implement earlier than that date. Accordingly, this Statement encourages early application. The Board concluded that retrospective application would be impractical and burdensome for many governments because the information needed may not exist or may no longer be readily available.

# **Appendix C**

## **ILLUSTRATIONS**

124. This appendix illustrates certain requirements of this Statement. The facts assumed in these examples are not intended to modify or limit the requirements of this Statement or to indicate the Board's endorsement of the policies or practices shown. Application of the provisions of this Statement may require assessment of facts and circumstances other than those illustrated here. Existing standards may require disclosures in addition to those illustrated.

# **Illustration 1—Government Merger**

Facts and assumptions: On November 2, 20X1, the citizens of Sample Township and the citizens of the surrounding Sample Village vote and approve a referendum to merge their legally separate governments into a single new legally separate government that will be incorporated as Sample City. The merger will enable the new government (Sample City) to take advantage of cost efficiencies by elimination of redundancies in service. The referendum established that a governing body for Sample City will be established and consist of four representatives from each of the former legally separate governments and included a plan for the merger, formally known as the Municipal Consolidation Plan (MCP). The merger is effective as of January 1, 20X2. As part of the merger plan, Sample City officials will sell some of the redundant capital assets immediately, and those capital assets will no longer be used by the combined government. Sample City officials determined these capital assets are impaired as a result of the decision to dispose of them. Sample City officials determined that the decision to sell the assets resulted in a decrease of \$4 million in the capital asset account of the governmental activities. Officials of Sample City also determined an adjustment to the carrying value of inventory is necessary to reflect a consistent method of accounting, resulting in a decrease of \$80,000.

#### Accounting for the opening net position of Sample City

- 1. Sample City brings forward the carrying values separately reported in the statements of net position of Sample Village and Sample Township as of January 1, 20X2, and combine the assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and net position (including the classifications of net position).
- 2. Sample City adjusts the combined capital assets and net position by \$4 million for the impairment of capital assets resulting from the consolidation plan. Sample City also adjusts the combined inventory and net position by \$80,000 to reflect a consistent method of accounting for its materials and supplies inventory.

# Example Worksheet:

**Note:** The following worksheet is intended to illustrate how a government may determine its initial balances resulting from a government merger. For simplicity, the components of net position are not shown in this example worksheet.

	Statements of Net Position  Sample Village Sample Township								
			ple Township	Total	Adjustments		Total		
ASSETS									
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	23,877,042	\$	22,229,649	\$ 46,106,691	\$	_	\$	46,106,691
Investments		27,365,221		29,314,866	56,680,087		_		56,680,087
Derivative instrument—rate swap		_		1,040,482	1,040,482		_		1,040,482
Receivables (net)		16,442,747		15,402,265	31,845,012		_		31,845,012
Inventories		448,823		250,000	698,823		(80,000)		618,823
Capital assets:									
Land, improvements, and construction in progress		153,408,694		34,843,175	188,251,869		(4,000,000)		184,251,869
Other capital assets, net of depreciation		168,002,817		282,572,377	450,575,194				450,575,194
Total assets		389,545,344		385,652,814	775,198,158		(4,080,000)		771,118,158
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES									
Accumulated decrease in fair value of a forward contract				127,520	127,520				127,520
LIABILITIES									
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		8,970,339		8,198,135	17,168,474		_		17,168,474
Advances from grantors		_		1,435,599	1,435,599		_		1,435,599
Forward contract		_		127,520	127,520		_		127,520
Long-term liabilities:									
Due within 1 year		13,662,286		9,547,351	23,209,637		_		23,209,637
Due in more than 1 year		157,784,651		91,463,340	249,247,991		_		249,247,991
Total liabilities		180,417,276		110,771,945	291,189,221		_		291,189,221
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES									
Accumulated increase in fair value of hedging derivatives				1,040,482	1,040,482				1,040,482
NET POSITION	\$	209,128,068	\$	273,967,907	\$ 483,095,975	\$	(4,080,000)	\$	479,015,975

#### **Illustrative Disclosure**

## Note X: Government Merger

Sample City began operations January 1, 20X2, and was formed from the merger of the Sample Township and Sample Village pursuant to the citizens' approval of referendum 20X1–XX and the Municipal Consolidation Plan (MCP). The initial opening balances of Sample City's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and net position, as of the beginning of the period, were determined on the basis of the carrying values reported in the separate financial statements of Sample Township and Sample Village as of December 31, 20X1, as follows:

	Sample Village	mple Village Sample Township		Adjustments	Total
ASSETS					
Current assets	\$ 68,133,833	\$ 68,237,262	\$ 136,371,095	\$ (80,000)	\$ 136,291,095
Noncurrent assets	321,411,511	317,415,552	638,827,063	(4,000,000)	634,827,063
Total assets	389,545,344	385,652,814	775,198,158	(4,080,000)	771,118,158
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Accumulated decrease in fair value of a					
forward contract		127,520	127,520		127,520
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities	22,632,625	19,308,605	41,941,230	_	41,941,230
Noncurrent liabilities	157,784,651	91,463,340	249,247,991	_	249,247,991
Total liabilities	180,417,276	110,771,945	291,189,221		291,189,221
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Accumulated increase in fair value of					
hedging derivatives		1,040,482	1,040,482		1,040,482
NET POSITION					
Net investment in capital assets	176,799,960	222,799,960	399,599,920	(4,000,000)	395,599,920
Restricted	24,203,913	49,985,155	74,189,068	_	74,189,068
Unrestricted	8,124,195	1,182,792	9,306,987	(80,000)	9,226,987
Total net position	\$ 209,128,068	\$ 273,967,907	\$ 483,095,975	\$ (4,080,000)	\$ 479,015,975

In determination of the beginning net position of Sample City as of January 1, 20X2, an impairment loss of \$4 million was recognized due to the closing of Sample Village's public works facilities on Geary Avenue. As required by the MCP, Sample City has agreed to merge the operations related to these services and sell the facilities, which include land and buildings that will no longer be used in the government's public works operations. In addition, an adjustment to reflect a consistent method of accounting for materials and supplies inventory resulted in an \$80,000 decrease in the beginning net position of Sample City.

# Illustration 2—Government Acquisition with Excess Consideration Provided

**Facts and assumptions:** On September 30, 20X2, the City of Salt Creek (City) acquires the operations of XYZ Golf Inc., a privately owned golf course, in exchange for \$3 million. At the date of acquisition, the corporation is dissolved and the City will account for the operations of a municipal golf course in a newly established enterprise fund. As of

September 30, 20X2, the net position of XYZ Golf Inc. was comprised of the following assets and liabilities:

#### **Assets**

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 41,204
Pro-shop inventory	101,706
Land	3,161,975
Buildings and equipment (net)	4,081,972
Other assets	4,239
Total assets	7,391,096
Liabilities	
Liabilities	
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	19,473
Notes payable	6,850,445
Total liabilities	6,869,918
Net position	\$ 521,178

## Accounting at the acquisition date

- 1. The City identifies the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from its purchase of XYZ Golf Inc. as of September 30, 20X2. In addition, the City identifies an intangible asset for water rights that meets the recognition criteria described in Statement No. 51, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets.
- 2. The City determines the acquisition values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date, as indicated below. The City renegotiated the financing from the local institution that held the XYZ Golf Inc.'s notes payable. The amount required to discharge XYZ's liability with the local institution was \$6,720,970. After assigning the acquisition values to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed, the City determines that the consideration provided (\$3 million) exceeded the acquisition value of the net position acquired (\$2.9 million) by \$100,000. The City recognizes a deferred outflow of resources for the excess consideration provided and establishes an attribution period to amortize the deferred outflow of resources over future reporting periods. Because a substantial portion of operations acquired consists of capital assets, the City determines that it will attribute the excess amount of consideration provided over the next 15 years based upon its estimate of the remaining service lives of the capital assets the City has acquired.
- 3. At September 30, 20X2, the City includes in its financial statements the following assets, deferred outflow of resources, liabilities, and components of net position as a result of the government acquisition:

#### Assets

Cash and cash equivalents	\$	41,204
Pro-shop inventory		95,000
Land		5,000,000
Buildings and equipment (net)		4,250,000
Other assets		4,239
Intangible asset for water rights		250,000
Total assets		9,640,443
Deferred outflow of resources		
Excess consideration provided for acquisition of XYZ		
Golf Inc.		100,000
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses		19,473
Notes payable		6,720,970
Total liabilities	_	6,740,443
Net position		
Net investment in capital assets		779,030
Unrestricted		220,970

#### **Illustrative Disclosure**

Total net position

## Note X: Government Acquisition

On September 30, 20X2, the City of Salt Creek (City) acquired XYZ Golf Inc., which owns the Barkas Ridge golf course and substantial water rights that attach to the golf course property in exchange for \$3 million. The City will operate and maintain the golf course as a municipal golf course for public use and account for its operations in an enterprise fund. The acquisition value of the net position acquired as of the acquisition date was approximately \$2.9 million. The acquisition included all of the assets of XYZ Golf Inc., consisting of 160 acres of land, adjacent to the Clear River; water rights; golf facilities; driving range; inventory; and equipment and supplies. In addition, the City assumed the liability for the accounts payable and accrued expenses of XYZ Golf Inc. and renegotiated the terms and conditions of the bank notes payable.

3,000,000

#### Illustration 3—Government Acquisition with Excess Net Position Received

*Facts and assumptions:* The basic facts of the preceding illustration are the same except that the City gives less consideration and receives net assets in excess of the consideration provided. In this illustration, the City purchased XYZ Golf Inc. for \$2.5 million.

#### Accounting at the acquisition date

- 1. The City identifies the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from its purchase of XYZ Golf Inc. as of September 30, 20X2. In addition, the City identifies an intangible asset for water rights that meets the recognition criteria described in Statement No. 51, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Intangible Assets.
- 2. The City determined the acquisition date values of the identified assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as indicated in the preceding illustration.
- 3. In this illustration, because the net assets received exceed the consideration the City provided by \$400,000, the City allocates this excess to the acquisition values of noncurrent assets to reflect the historical cost basis of the assets acquired. The City allocates the excess based upon a proportionate share of the acquisition value assigned to each noncurrent asset.

Acquired Assets	A	Acquisition Value		Allocation of Excess Net Position		Adjusted Acquisition Value		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	41,204	\$	_	\$	41,204		
Inventory		95,000		_		95,000		
Land		5,000,000		(210,432)		4,789,568		
Buildings and equipment (net)		4,250,000		(178,868)		4,071,132		
Other assets		4,239		(178)		4,061		
Intangible asset		250,000		(10,522)		239,478		
Total acquired assets	\$	9,640,443	\$	(400,000)	\$	9,240,443		

#### **Illustrative Disclosure**

## Note X: Government Acquisition

On September 30, 20X2, the City of Salt Creek (City) acquired XYZ Golf Inc., which owns the Barkas Ridge golf course and substantial water rights that attach to the golf course property in exchange for \$2.5 million. The City will operate and maintain the golf course as a municipal golf course for public use and account for its operations in an enterprise fund. The acquisition included all of the assets of XYZ Golf Inc., consisting of 160 acres of land, adjacent to the Clear River; water rights; golf facilities; driving range; inventory; and equipment and supplies. In addition, the City assumed the liability for the accounts payable and accrued expenses of XYZ Golf Inc. and renegotiated the terms and conditions of the bank notes payable. The acquisition value of the net position acquired equaled the amount of the consideration provided.

# **Illustration 4—Transfer of Operations**

Facts and assumptions: Mountain Region Fire Authority (MRFA) is a separate municipal corporation, organized as a regional fire protection service authority. Under an intergovernmental agreement between the City of Price River (City) and the MRFA, the City will join the MRFA for the purpose of providing fire protection services to the City's citizens. On March 15, 20X0, the City transfers the assets and liabilities comprising its emergency fire service operations to the MRFA. The City transfers an administrative building, fire stations, engines, trucks, and various other equipment and supplies having a carrying value of approximately \$6.3 million. The MRFA will also assume \$3.4 million of the City's long-term debt related to emergency fire service operations. There are no deferred outflows of resources or deferred inflows of resources associated with the City's fire emergency service operations.

## Accounting at the merger date

- 1. The MRFA determines whether a government combination has occurred. The assets and liabilities transferred to the MRFA represent an integrated set of assets and liabilities managed for the purpose of providing emergency fire services, thus meeting the definition of an operation. In addition, service continuation is presumed because the assets used by the City to provide emergency fire services will be used in a similar manner by the MRFA to provide a similar service. There is no consideration transferred in the transaction. A transfer of operations is determined to have occurred.
- 2. The MRFA recognizes the carrying values of assets and liabilities of the City's emergency fire service operations as of March 15, 20X0, and a special item of \$2.9 million representing the inflow of resources for the net position received. The MRFA determines that adjustments to the carrying values of assets related to the City's emergency fire service operations are not necessary to reflect a consistent method of accounting or to reflect the impairment of capital assets. The MRFA discloses the transfer of operations in the notes to its financial statements.
- 3. The City derecognizes the assets and liabilities related to its emergency fire service operations as of March 15, 20X0, and recognizes \$2.9 million as a special item representing the outflow of resources for the net position transferred to the MRFA. The City discloses the disposal of operations in the notes to its financial statements.

#### **Illustrative Disclosures**

(For the transferee government)

# Note X: Transfer of Operations

Under an intergovernmental agreement between the City of Price River (City) and the MRFA, the City joined the MRFA for the purpose of providing fire protection services to the City's citizens. On March 15, 20X0, the City transferred the assets and liabilities comprising its emergency fire service operations to the MRFA. As a result of the transfer, the MRFA recognized the following assets, liabilities, and net position:

	Carrying Values	
Transferred Assets		
Buildings	\$	4,300,000
Vehicles (trucks and fire engines)		1,700,000
Equipment		300,000
Total capital assets		6,300,000
Transferred Liabilities  Long-term debt		3,400,000
Net Position of Transferred Fire Protection Operation Net investment in capital assets	\$	2,900,000

(For the government transferor)

#### *Note X: Disposal of Operations*

On March 15, 20X0, the City transferred the assets and liabilities comprising its emergency fire service operations to the Mountain Region Fire Authority (MRFA) for the purpose of providing emergency fire services. As a result of the transfer, the City recognized a loss of \$2.9 million on the disposal of its emergency fire service operations. The City's 20X0 expense related to its emergency fire service operations totaled approximately \$11.2 million. 20X0 revenues associated with the City's emergency fire service operations, consisting of both program and general revenues, totaled approximately \$9.6 million.

# **Appendix D**

## **CODIFICATION INSTRUCTIONS**

125. The section that follows updates the June 30, 2011, *Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards*, for the effects of this Statement. Only the paragraph number of the Statement is listed if the paragraph will be cited in full in the Codification.

\* \* \*

[Create new section as follows:]

# GOVERNMENT COMBINATIONS AND DISPOSALS OF GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

**SECTION G10** 

Source: GASB Statement XX

.101–.105 [GASBS XX, ¶3–¶7, including heading; change *Statement* to *section* and update cross-references.]

.106–.155 [GASBS XX, ¶9–¶58, including headings and footnotes; change *Statement* to *section* and update cross-references.]

\* \* \*

126. The sections that follow update the Codification Instructions of Statement No. 62, Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained in Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements, for the effects of this Statement. The requirements of Statement 62 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011.

\* \* \*

#### REPORTING CAPITAL ASSETS

**SECTION 1400** 

.121 [GASBS 51,  $\P$ 2; delete subparagraph c.] [GASBS 51,  $\P$ 2, as amended by GASBS XX,  $\P$ 8]

\* \* \*

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## **SECTION 2300**

.107 [Add new subparagraph eee as follows: add GASBS XX, ¶56–¶58 to sources:] Government combinations and disposals of government operations. (See Section G10, "Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations," paragraphs .153–.155.)

\* \* \*

#### INVESTMENTS SECTION I50

.127 [GASBS 62,  $\P209$ ; replace *goodwill* with a deferred outflow of resources in subparagraphs b and l.] [GASBS 62,  $\P209$ , as amended by GASBS XX,  $\P8$ ]

\* \* \*